

A Novel Multimodal Analgesia Pathway is Associated with Decreased Peri-procedural **Opioid Consumption for Patients Undergoing CT-Guided Microwave Ablation in the Interventional Radiology Suite**



Sarah A Low MD, Ruddy Chen MD, Brinda Kamdar MD, Peter Stefanovich MD, Rafael Vazquez MD, Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

INTRODUCTION

- Percutaneous CT-guided microwave ablation (MWA) has become a common treatment of both primary and metastatic hepatic malignancies. A significant portion of patients experience post-procedural visceral pain
- There is a growing need to manage acute, peri-procedural pain for patients undergoing procedures outside the operating room
- Enhanced recovery pathways incorporating multimodal analgesia are beneficial for surgical patients, but are not vet standard in the procedural space

Purpose: Study the impact of institutionalizing an enhanced recovery after procedure (ERAP) multimodal analgesic pathway on peri-procedural opioid administration for outpatients undergoing CT-guided percutaneous hepatic microwave ablation (MWA)



RESULTS – Periprocedural Opioid Dosage



DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

 Significant difference in post-procedure ME between the PA+BPVB (3.82 mg [95% CI 2.14 - 5.50]) and control group (17.17 mg [95% CI 7.16 - 27.17]; p=0.03).

• There was no association between hospital admission and pain scores on post-hoc analysis.

Conclusion: Applying a multimodal analgesic pathway with preprocedure analgesics and PVB is associated with decreased peri-procedural opioid administration for CT-guided hepatic MWA

METHODS

- IRB approved retrospective case series
- Inclusion: All patients undergoing CT-guided MWA for primary or metastatic hepatic malignancies at Massachusetts General Hospital from May 2016 to April 2022
- Inclusion criteria >18 years. Exclusion criteria were patients with procedural complications
- Patients were categorized into 3 groups:
- Preprocedure analgesic (PA) PA with bilateral paravertebral block (P

- Patients that received at least 1 of 4 ERAP preprocedural analgesics were grouped into the preprocedural analgesic (PA) cohort
- All PVBs were performed in the CT procedure room by the regional team 20 mL of ropivacaine 0.5% or bupivacaine 0.5% with 1:400,000 e
- A CT scout image was performed immediately after the block per liver MWA pro evaluate for complications of the PVBs including pneumothorax. I Intra-procedure doses of hydromorphone were converted
- and documented as morphine equivalents (ME) for all groups
- Post-procedure opioids given in the recovery unit were converted into ME, which was documented until discharge to home
- · If the patient was admitted, 24-hour ME was recorded from when the patient arrived to the post-procedure area. Hospital admission was recorded for all groups irrespective of the indication

	Control	Pre-procedure Analgesic (PA)	Pre-procedure + Bilateral Paravertebral Block (PA+BPVB)	p-value ^{s.b}
Cample size (n)	69	88	92	
Mean intra-procedure ME (mg)	13.81 (15.36)	7.01 (12.22)	2.62 (7.46)	<0.0001
(SD) [95% CI lower limit-upper limit]	[10.12 - 17.50]	[4.42 - 9.60]	[1.10 - 4.16]	
Mean post-procedure MII (mg)	17.17 (41.68)	7.99 (42.22)	3.82 (8.13)	0.035
(SD) [95% CI lower limit-upper limit]	[7.16 - 27.17]	[-0.96 - 16.93]	[2.14 - 5.50]	
VAS at 30 min	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.33
(median (IQR))	[0.0, 6.3]	(0.0, 0.0)	(0.0, 2.0)	
VAS at 60 min (median [IQR])	0.0 [0.0, 5.0]	[0.0, 4.0]	0.0 [0.0, 4.3]	0.767
VAS at 120 min	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.42
(median (IQR))	[0.0, 6.0]	[0.0, 4.0]	[0.0, 4.8]	
Mean procedure length (mir)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.023
(SD) [95% CI lower limit-upper limit]	[0.0, 14.0]	[0.0, 6.0]	(0.0, 6.0)	
Hospital admission count (%)	141.3 (45.30) [130.4 - 152.2]	143.1 (57.16) [131.0 - 155.2]	160.0 (47.61) [150.1 - 169.8]	0.01
Hospital admission for pain count [% of total]	3 (4.3)	3 (3.4)	5 (5.4)	0.92

ral and Rost



REFERENCES

- Piccioni F, Poli A, Templeton LC, Templeton TW, Rispoli M, Vetrugno L, et al. Anesthesia for Percutaneous Radiofrequency Tumor Ablation (PRFA): A Review of Current Practice and Techniques. Local Reg Anesth. 2019:12:127-137.
- Lee S, Rhim H, Kim Y-S, Choi D, Lee WJ, Lim HK, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinomas: factors related to intraprocedural and postprocedural pain. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009:192: 1064-1070.
- Andreano A. Galimberti S. Franza E. Knavel EM. Sironi S. Lee FT. et al. Percutaneous microwave ablation of hepatic tumors: prospective evaluation of postablation syndrome and postprocedural pain. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2014;25: 97-105.e1-2.
- Liu, D. M., Hadjivassiliou, A., Valenti, D., Ho, S. G., Klass, D., Chung, J. B., ... & Boucher, L. M. (2020). Optimized nerve block techniques while performing percutaneous hepatic ablation: Literature review and practical use. Journal of Interventional Medicine, 3(4), 161-166.
- Beverly A, Kaye AD, Ljungqvist O, Urman RD. Essential Elements of Multimodal Analgesia in Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Guidelines. Anesthesiol Clin. 2017;35: e115–e143.
- Abu Elyazed MM, Abdullah MA. Thoracic paravertebral block for the anesthetic management of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of liver tumors. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2018;34: 166–171.

SAMBA 2024 Annual Meeting

May 2-4, 2024