MAYO **CLINIC**

Recovery Metrics and Complication Rates for Propofol Supported Sedation With versus Without Adjuvants at a Hospital-Based Endoscopy Suite

Guozhen Xie¹, Maria Estevez M.D.², Kiyan Heybati¹, Christine Moshe M.D.², Michael Smith M.D.², Ryan Chadha M.D.²

¹Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, ²Mayo Clinic Florida, Division of Anesthesia and Perioperative Medicine

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

It is widely believed that single-agent sedation is associated with a better recovery and safety profile than multi-agent sedation. The goal of this study is to compare recovery time and select complications in patients receiving propofol alone versus a combination of propofol and adjuvant sedatives and analgesics.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective cohort study including adults (\geq 18) undergoing EGD and/or colonoscopy at Mayo Clinic in Jacksonville, Florida between October 1, 2018 through December 31, 2022. Data were retrieved from institutional data warehouses (Mayo Clinic DataMart and Unified Data Platform) that provide a near real-time replica of Mayo Clinic's electronic healthcare record (EHR). Equivalent procedures were reclassified broadly under "EGD", "Colonoscopy", or "Both" following review by two independent investigators, and data was filtered for completeness and procedures of interest.

RESULTS

Across the study period, 63,663 procedures were identified, of which 28,532 received anesthesia-supported sedation with propofol. 55% of were female and 12% were not Caucasian. Average patient age was 58 (SD 15.8), median age was 61 (IQR 49-70), and average ASA Score was 2.58.

Mean PACU LOS was 31.71, 32.63, and 33.31 minutes among patients receiving only Propofol while undergoing EGD, COL, and Combined procedures, respectively. This was comparable to 35.06, 39.95, 34.82 for adjuvant fentanyl; 33.13, 45.78, 36.09 for midazolam; 30.11, 31.34, 31.81 for dexmedetomidine; and 36.20, 25.56, 33.76 for multiple adjuvants, respectively. Based on Two-Sample T-test, only adjuvant fentanyl use was associated with a significantly longer PACU LOS than propofol alone, specifically in EGD and COL procedures (p<0.001). All other groups failed to reach statistical significance when compared to propofol alone.

Across all procedure types, patients receiving propofol alone exhibited a 9.4% incidence of bradycardia, 16.0% hypoxia, 0.89% PONV, and 0.40% hospitalization. In patients undergoing EGD, the odds of hypoxia was significantly lower in propofol alone compared to propofol+adjuvants. All other odds ratios did not differ significantly from 1.0.

CONCLUSIONS

In the setting of anesthesia-supported sedation with propofol, the use of adjuvant medications was not consistently associated with longer recovery times nor higher incidence of post-operative complications. Fentanyl was the only agent that exhibited prolonged PACU LOS in some procedure types. Thus, the use of adjuvant sedatives or analgesics may improve patient comfort without compromising procedural output and clinic flow.

OBJECTIVES

TO ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING AREAS OF NEED:

- While the safety and efficacy of propofol sedation is well studied¹, data on adjuvant dexmedetomidine is relatively sparse.
- Studies have shown that adjuvant narcotics or benzodiazepines with propofol increases cardiopulmonary depression and affects recovery time, but the size and direction of the effects vary by report.²⁻⁵
- Comparably sized studies were conducted across multiple institutions without standardization of staffing and recovery protocols.¹
- Comparably sized studies have reported on complication rates, but not recovery times.¹

METHODS

- Data Source: Mayo Unified Data Platform
- Records Included/Screened: 28,532/63,663
- Inclusion Criteria
 - Data fields complete (except ASA) demographics, medication usage status, PACU start/end times, complication rates, complications status Propofol used
 - No paralytics used
- ٠ Groups: Prop, Dex, Keta, Fent, Benzo, Multiple
- Analysis: RStudio
- Primary outcome: PACU length of stay •
- ٠ Secondary outcomes
 - Incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV)
 - Hypoxemia (SpO2<90)
 - Bradycardia (HR<60)
 - Escalation of care (hospital admission)

RESULTS 1

Table 1						
Sedation	%	%	Age	SD	Age	ASA
	Female	Caucasian	(avg)		(median)	Score
						(mean)
Dexmedeto	55.16	87.44	50.90	16.59	52.0	2.52
midine						
Fentanyl	50.78	88.74	54.05	16.55	57.0	2.54
Ketamine	50.77	84.62	47.20	17.71	48.0	2.80
Midazolam	67.82	89.94	46.61	15.98	47.0	2.45
Multiple	50.00	86.70	44.59	16.51	44.5	2.55
Propofol	55.08	88.01	59.81	15.18	62.0	2.58
Alone						

Paper Poster #8

RESULTS 2



Table 2 Comparison mean mean ∆means Tp value 2 statistic 1 Prop vs Prop+Fent (EGD) 31.71 35.07 -3.35 -3.65 2.72 x 10⁻⁴ Prop vs Prop+Fent (Colon) -7.31 -4.53 7.42 x 10⁻⁶ 32.63 39.95 Prop vs Prop+Fent (Both) 33.31 34.82 -1.51 -1.42 0.156 Prop vs Prop+Benzo (EGD) 31.71 33.12 -1.41 -0.68 0.499 Prop vs Prop+Benzo (Colon) 32.63 45.78 -13.15 -1.06 0.292 Prop vs Prop+Benzo (Both) 33.31 36.09 -2.78 -1.34 0.184 Prop vs Prop+Keta (EGD) 31.71 29.08 2.63 1.15 0.254 Prop vs Prop+Keta (Colon) 3.30 0.93 0.396 32.63 29.33 33.31 30.64 Prop vs Prop+Keta (Both) 2.67 0.62 0.550 Prop vs Prop+Dex (EGD) 1.61 31.71 30.11 2.29 2.19 x 10⁻² Prop vs Prop+Dex (Colon) 32.63 31.34 1.29 1.38 0.169 rop vs Prop+Dex (Both) 33.31 31.81 1.50 1.49 0.137 Prop vs Prop+Multi (EGD) -4.49 -1.99 31.71 36.20 0.0480 Prop vs Prop+Multi (Colon) 32.63 35.56 -2.93 -1.05 0.296 Prop vs Prop+Multi (Both) 33.31 33.76 -0.45 -0.26 0.792

CONCLUSIONS

FIGURE 2

Odds Ratio of PACU Complications Propofol With versus Without Adjuvants



FIGURE 3



- The use of adjuvant medications was NOT consistently associated with longer recovery times
- The use of adjuvant medications was NOT consistently associated with higher incidence of post-operative complications.
- Adjuvant fentanyl exhibited prolonged PACU LOS in some procedure types and increased rates of some complications

REFERENCES

- 1. Behrens A, Kreuzmayr A, Manner H, et al. Acute sedation-associated complications in GI endoscopy (ProSed 2 Study): results from the prospective multicentre electronic registry of sedation-associated complications. Gut. Mar 2019;68(3):445-452. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311037Moerman AT, Struys MM, Vereecke HE, Herregods LL, De Vos MM, Mortier EP. Remifentanil used to supplement propofol does not improve quality of sedation during spontaneous respiration. J Clin Anesth. Jun 2004;16(4):237-43. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2003.08.005
- 2. Seifert H, Schmitt TH, Gültekin T, Caspary WF, Wehrmann T. Sedation with propofol plus midazolam versus propofol alone for interventional endoscopic procedures: a prospective, randomized study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. Sep 2000;14(9):1207-14. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2036.2000.00787.x
- 3. VanNatta ME, Rex DK. Propofol alone titrated to deep sedation versus propofol in combination with opioids and/or benzodiazepines and titrated to moderate sedation for colonoscopy. Am J Gastroenterol. Oct 2006;101(10):2209-17. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00760.xLorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis eu sapien metus. Duis vestibulum tincidunt felis, at euismod dolor aliquam.
- 4. Ong WC, Santosh D, Lakhtakia S, Reddy DN. A randomized controlled trial on use of propofol alone versus propofol with midazolam, ketamine, and pentazocine "sedato-analgesic cocktail" for sedation during ERCP. Endoscopy. Sep 2007;39(9):807-12. doi:10.1055/s-2007-966725

RESULTS 3